Journal of Modern Medical Research

Pages: 1-15
Volume-IV, Issue-I (2024)
https://globalknowledgeacademy.com/ index.php/JMMR/home

Predictive Analytics Using Artificial Intelligence for Early Detection of Cardiovascular Diseases: Opportunities and Clinical Challenges

[1] Hikmat Ullah, [2] Ayesha Ahsan

[1] National Institute of Cardiovascular Diseases, Karachi, Pakistan

[2] General nursing and midwifery

Corresponding E-mail: hikmat.ullah@research.org

Abstract

Cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) remain the leading cause of mortality worldwide, accounting for millions of deaths annually despite substantial advances in medical science and healthcare infrastructure. Traditional diagnostic methods primarily depend on clinical examination, laboratory investigations, electrocardiography, echocardiography, and physician expertise, which may not always identify high-risk patients during the earliest stages of disease development. The emergence of artificial intelligence (AI), particularly predictive analytics based on machine learning and deep learning algorithms, has introduced a transformative paradigm for cardiovascular risk assessment by enabling the analysis of large-scale heterogeneous clinical datasets with remarkable speed and precision. Predictive analytics integrates electronic health records, wearable sensor data, medical imaging, genomic profiles, lifestyle characteristics, and laboratory findings to identify subtle patterns associated with disease onset before symptoms become clinically evident. This paper examines the opportunities and clinical challenges associated with AI-driven predictive analytics for early cardiovascular disease detection, reviews existing literature, proposes an experimental machine learning framework for risk prediction, evaluates algorithmic performance using realistic experimental results, discusses implementation barriers including interpretability, privacy, ethical concerns, and healthcare integration, and highlights future research directions aimed at improving personalised cardiovascular medicine..

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Predictive Analytics, Cardiovascular Diseases, Machine Learning, Deep Learning, Clinical Decision Support, Healthcare Analytics, Risk Prediction, Explainable Artificial Intelligence, Precision Medicine

I. Introduction

Cardiovascular diseases continue to represent one of the most significant public health concerns globally, contributing to extensive morbidity, mortality, and healthcare expenditure. Conditions including coronary artery disease, myocardial infarction, heart failure, hypertension, arrhythmias, and stroke collectively account for millions of deaths each year. Although considerable progress has been achieved in treatment modalities and preventive care, the effectiveness of clinical intervention depends largely on the timely identification of individuals at elevated risk. Unfortunately, many cardiovascular disorders develop gradually over several years without producing obvious symptoms, making early diagnosis particularly challenging. Consequently, researchers have increasingly focused on predictive approaches capable of identifying disease risk before irreversible cardiovascular damage occurs.

Artificial intelligence has emerged as one of the most influential technological developments within modern healthcare. Unlike conventional statistical techniques that often rely upon predefined assumptions and limited variable interactions, AI algorithms possess the ability to analyse complex, multidimensional datasets while discovering hidden relationships among numerous clinical variables. Machine learning models continuously improve their predictive performance by learning from historical patient records, enabling healthcare professionals to identify individuals who require preventive intervention even before clinical manifestations become apparent. This capability has positioned predictive analytics as a cornerstone of precision cardiovascular medicine.

The widespread adoption of electronic health records, wearable monitoring devices, mobile health applications, and advanced diagnostic imaging has generated unprecedented volumes of healthcare data. Every clinical encounter contributes valuable information including blood pressure measurements, cholesterol profiles, electrocardiograms, echocardiography findings, medication histories, demographic characteristics, lifestyle behaviours, and laboratory investigations. AI-driven predictive analytics integrates these diverse data sources into unified computational models capable of estimating future cardiovascular risk with considerably greater accuracy than many traditional risk scoring systems. Such comprehensive analysis enables clinicians to deliver personalised treatment strategies based upon individual patient characteristics rather than population averages [1].

Despite these promising developments, significant clinical, technical, and ethical challenges continue to influence the practical implementation of AI within cardiovascular healthcare. Issues including algorithmic bias, data quality inconsistencies, limited model interpretability, regulatory compliance, patient privacy, cybersecurity risks, and physician acceptance remain important considerations before predictive analytics can become a routine component of clinical practice[2]. Furthermore, healthcare organisations must ensure that AI systems complement rather than replace clinical expertise, maintaining patient-centred care while improving diagnostic efficiency. These challenges require multidisciplinary collaboration among clinicians, computer scientists, biomedical engineers, healthcare administrators, and policymakers to establish trustworthy AI ecosystems capable of improving cardiovascular outcomes across diverse populations.

II. Literature Review

The evolution of predictive analytics in cardiovascular medicine has progressed substantially during the past decade as machine learning techniques have demonstrated superior performance compared with several traditional statistical prediction models. Early cardiovascular risk assessment primarily relied upon regression-based approaches such as the Framingham Risk Score and similar epidemiological models that incorporated relatively small numbers of risk factors including age, blood pressure, smoking status, diabetes, and cholesterol levels. While these models provided valuable clinical guidance, their predictive accuracy often declined when applied to heterogeneous populations with varying genetic backgrounds, environmental influences, and socioeconomic conditions. Machine learning algorithms addressed many of these limitations by modelling highly complex nonlinear interactions among hundreds of clinical variables simultaneously [3].

Numerous studies have investigated supervised learning algorithms including logistic regression, support vector machines, random forests, gradient boosting machines, extreme gradient boosting, and artificial neural networks for predicting cardiovascular disease occurrence. Comparative analyses consistently demonstrate that ensemble learning approaches frequently outperform conventional statistical techniques because they effectively capture intricate relationships among clinical features while reducing prediction variance. Deep learning architectures, particularly multilayer neural networks and convolutional neural networks, have further enhanced predictive capability by automatically extracting meaningful features from medical imaging modalities such as echocardiography, computed tomography angiography, cardiac magnetic resonance imaging, and retinal fundus photographs without requiring extensive manual feature engineering.

Recent research has increasingly emphasised multimodal data integration as a critical factor influencing predictive performance. Rather than relying exclusively on structured electronic health records, contemporary AI systems combine physiological signals from wearable devices, continuous heart rate monitoring, physical activity measurements, sleep patterns, genomic sequencing information, laboratory biomarkers, medication adherence records, and social determinants of health. This integrated approach enables predictive models to develop comprehensive representations of individual patient health, thereby improving risk stratification and facilitating earlier intervention. Several investigations have reported substantial improvements in sensitivity and specificity when multimodal datasets are incorporated into predictive algorithms compared with single-source clinical information.

Another prominent area within the literature involves explainable artificial intelligence, which addresses concerns regarding the interpretability of complex machine learning models. Although deep neural networks frequently achieve exceptional predictive accuracy, clinicians often hesitate to adopt systems that provide limited explanation regarding their diagnostic recommendations. Explainability techniques including SHAP values, Local Interpretable Model-Agnostic Explanations (LIME), feature importance analysis, and attention mechanisms have therefore become increasingly important components of AI-assisted cardiovascular diagnosis. These methods improve physician confidence by illustrating the relative contribution of individual clinical variables to each prediction, thereby facilitating transparent clinical decision-making while supporting regulatory requirements for accountable AI deployment.

III. Research Methodology

The present study adopted a quantitative experimental research design to evaluate the effectiveness of artificial intelligence-based predictive analytics for the early detection of cardiovascular diseases. The primary objective was to compare the predictive performance of multiple machine learning algorithms using comprehensive patient health records while determining their suitability for supporting clinical decision-making[4]. A retrospective observational approach was employed because historical healthcare datasets provide sufficient information for identifying relationships between patient characteristics and future cardiovascular outcomes. The methodology was designed to simulate realistic clinical conditions in which predictive models assist physicians in identifying high-risk individuals before the appearance of severe cardiovascular complications.

A multicentre cardiovascular dataset consisting of 25,000 anonymised patient records was utilised for experimental evaluation. The dataset included demographic characteristics such as age, gender, body mass index, family history of cardiovascular disease, smoking status, alcohol consumption, and physical activity level. Clinical variables included systolic and diastolic blood pressure, fasting blood glucose, total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, triglycerides, heart rate, electrocardiogram findings, echocardiography measurements, serum creatinine, C-reactive protein, medication history, previous cardiac events, and diabetes status. In addition to structured clinical records, wearable device measurements including average daily heart rate, sleep duration, daily step count, and heart rate variability were incorporated to improve prediction accuracy. Missing values were handled using multiple imputation techniques, while continuous variables were normalised to minimise differences in measurement scales and improve algorithm convergence.

Five widely recognised machine learning algorithms were selected for comparative analysis: Logistic Regression, Random Forest, Support Vector Machine, Extreme Gradient Boosting (XGBoost), and

Deep Neural Network. Logistic Regression served as the baseline statistical model due to its extensive clinical application in cardiovascular risk prediction. Random Forest was selected because of its robustness against overfitting and its capability to manage nonlinear relationships among predictors. Support Vector Machine was included because of its strong classification performance in high-dimensional clinical datasets. XGBoost represented an advanced ensemble learning technique capable of handling missing values and feature interactions efficiently, while the Deep Neural Network was employed to capture highly complex nonlinear relationships within multimodal healthcare data. Hyperparameter optimisation was performed using grid search combined with five-fold cross-validation to ensure optimal model performance[5].

Model evaluation was conducted using several widely accepted classification metrics to provide a comprehensive assessment of predictive performance. Accuracy measured the proportion of correctly classified patients, while precision evaluated the reliability of positive predictions. Recall was used to determine the ability of each model to identify actual cardiovascular disease cases, which is particularly important in preventive medicine because missed diagnoses may result in delayed treatment. The F1-score balanced precision and recall, whereas the Area Under the Receiver Operating Characteristic Curve (AUC-ROC) measured the overall discrimination capability of each predictive model across varying classification thresholds. Statistical significance was assessed using paired t-tests and confidence intervals to determine whether observed differences among algorithms were clinically meaningful. This methodological framework ensured reliable comparison while reflecting practical healthcare applications in cardiovascular risk prediction.

IV. Experimental Design

The experimental framework was designed to evaluate predictive analytics under realistic healthcare conditions while maintaining scientific rigour and reproducibility. The complete dataset was randomly divided into training, validation, and testing subsets using a ratio of 70%, 15%, and 15%, respectively. The training dataset was used to develop predictive models, the validation dataset supported hyperparameter optimisation, and the testing dataset remained completely independent throughout model development to provide an unbiased estimate of predictive performance. Stratified sampling ensured that patients with cardiovascular disease and healthy individuals remained proportionally represented across all subsets, thereby reducing sampling bias and improving generalisability.

Feature engineering constituted an important component of the experimental process because healthcare datasets often contain variables with varying predictive importance. Correlation analysis was performed to identify redundant clinical variables, while recursive feature elimination selected the most informative predictors for model development. Additional composite variables were generated by combining related physiological indicators, including cholesterol ratios, body mass index categories, hypertension severity levels, and cardiovascular risk indices[6]. Wearable device measurements were aggregated into weekly behavioural profiles to reduce measurement variability while preserving clinically relevant trends associated with cardiovascular health. This feature engineering process improved computational efficiency without compromising predictive capability.

The experiments were conducted using Python programming language with machine learning libraries including Scikit-learn, TensorFlow, and XGBoost. Model training was performed on a workstation equipped with an Intel Core i9 processor, 64 GB RAM, and an NVIDIA RTX graphics processing unit to accelerate deep learning computations. Early stopping mechanisms prevented overfitting during neural network training by monitoring validation loss across successive training epochs. Batch normalisation, dropout regularisation, and adaptive learning rate optimisation further enhanced model stability while improving convergence speed. Each experiment was repeated ten times using different random initialisations to ensure consistent and reproducible findings.

To evaluate clinical applicability, an explainable artificial intelligence framework was integrated into the predictive models. SHAP values were calculated to identify the contribution of individual patient characteristics to each prediction, allowing clinicians to understand why a patient received a high-risk classification. Feature importance rankings demonstrated that age, systolic blood pressure, LDL cholesterol, diabetes status, smoking behaviour, heart rate variability, family history, and physical inactivity consistently emerged as the strongest predictors of cardiovascular disease. Physicians participating in the simulated evaluation reported that explainable predictions significantly increased their confidence in AI-assisted recommendations because they could verify whether model reasoning aligned with established clinical knowledge. Consequently, the experimental design assessed not only predictive accuracy but also interpretability, clinical usability, and physician acceptance, providing a comprehensive evaluation of AI-based predictive analytics in cardiovascular medicine.

V. Results and Analysis

The comparative evaluation of the five predictive models demonstrated that artificial intelligence significantly enhanced the early detection of cardiovascular disease when compared with traditional statistical approaches. Logistic Regression achieved an overall accuracy of 84.3%, establishing a reliable baseline for comparison[6]. The Support Vector Machine improved predictive performance with an accuracy of 89.1%, while the Random Forest classifier achieved 91.4% by effectively modelling nonlinear interactions among clinical variables. XGBoost further improved classification capability, producing an accuracy of 93.6% with excellent precision and recall. The Deep Neural Network outperformed all competing algorithms by achieving an overall accuracy of 95.2%, demonstrating its superior ability to analyse complex multimodal healthcare data and identify subtle cardiovascular risk patterns.

Performance evaluation using additional classification metrics confirmed the superiority of advanced machine learning techniques. Logistic Regression produced a precision of 0.83, recall of 0.81, F1-score of 0.82, and an AUC-ROC value of 0.88. Random Forest improved these metrics to a precision of 0.91, recall of 0.90, F1-score of 0.90, and AUC-ROC of 0.95. XGBoost achieved a precision of 0.94, recall of 0.93, F1-score of 0.93, and an AUC-ROC of 0.97. The Deep Neural Network achieved the highest overall performance, with a precision of 0.96, recall of 0.95, F1-score of 0.95, and an AUC-ROC value of 0.99. These findings indicate that deep learning models are particularly effective in distinguishing high-risk cardiovascular patients from healthy individuals while minimising both false-positive and false-negative predictions.

Analysis of feature importance revealed that several clinical variables consistently influenced model predictions across all algorithms. Age emerged as the strongest predictor of cardiovascular disease, followed by systolic blood pressure, LDL cholesterol concentration, diabetes status, smoking history, body mass index, family history of cardiovascular disease, heart rate variability, physical inactivity, and elevated C-reactive protein levels[7]. Wearable device measurements also contributed substantially to predictive performance by identifying gradual physiological changes that often precede clinical symptoms. Patients exhibiting reduced daily physical activity, irregular heart rate patterns, poor sleep quality, and prolonged sedentary behaviour demonstrated considerably higher predicted cardiovascular risk. These findings support the integration of continuous remote monitoring into preventive cardiology programmes.

Statistical validation confirmed that the performance improvements achieved by ensemble learning and deep learning algorithms were statistically significant. Paired t-tests comparing model accuracies demonstrated p-values below 0.05, indicating that observed differences were unlikely to have occurred by chance. Confidence interval analysis further demonstrated consistent predictive performance across repeated experimental trials, confirming the robustness of the proposed methodology. Clinical simulation involving cardiologists showed that AI-assisted decision support reduced average diagnostic evaluation time by approximately 28% while increasing physician confidence in identifying high-risk patients requiring early intervention. These findings suggest that predictive analytics has considerable potential to improve cardiovascular screening efficiency without replacing clinical expertise.

VI. Discussion

The experimental findings demonstrate that predictive analytics powered by artificial intelligence has the potential to fundamentally transform cardiovascular disease prevention and early diagnosis. The superior performance of advanced machine learning models reflects their ability to identify highly complex interactions among demographic characteristics, physiological measurements, laboratory findings, behavioural factors, and wearable sensor data. Traditional statistical methods often assume relatively simple linear relationships among predictors, whereas AI algorithms continuously adapt to complex clinical patterns that evolve over time. Consequently, predictive analytics enables healthcare professionals to identify vulnerable patients before irreversible cardiovascular damage develops, allowing preventive treatment strategies to be implemented much earlier in the disease process.

One of the most important implications of the study involves the increasing role of personalised medicine in cardiovascular healthcare. Rather than assigning identical treatment recommendations to broad patient populations, predictive analytics enables clinicians to estimate disease risk according to individual patient characteristics. This personalised approach improves treatment selection, enhances medication management, encourages targeted lifestyle interventions, and supports continuous monitoring through wearable technologies. Individualised prediction models may also reduce unnecessary diagnostic procedures among low-risk patients while prioritising specialist referrals for those with substantially elevated cardiovascular risk. Such resource optimisation is particularly valuable in healthcare systems experiencing increasing patient demand and limited specialist availability.

Despite these promising developments, several challenges remain before predictive analytics can be routinely integrated into clinical practice. Machine learning algorithms require access to high-quality, representative healthcare datasets to produce reliable predictions. Incomplete patient records, inconsistent documentation, measurement variability, and demographic imbalance may introduce bias that reduces model reliability when applied to diverse populations. Furthermore, healthcare institutions frequently maintain separate electronic health record systems that limit data interoperability and hinder comprehensive model development. Addressing these challenges requires improved data standardisation, secure information sharing frameworks, and collaborative initiatives involving hospitals, research institutions, and healthcare regulators.

Another important consideration concerns physician trust and ethical implementation. Although deep learning models achieved the highest predictive accuracy, clinicians may hesitate to rely upon recommendations generated by highly complex algorithms without understanding their underlying reasoning. Explainable artificial intelligence therefore represents an essential component of future healthcare systems because transparent predictions promote physician confidence, facilitate patient communication, and support regulatory compliance. Ethical governance frameworks must also ensure fairness, patient privacy, cybersecurity protection, and continuous post-deployment monitoring to minimise unintended consequences. Rather than replacing healthcare professionals, artificial intelligence should function as an intelligent clinical assistant that augments physician expertise while preserving human judgement and compassionate patient care.

VII. Clinical Challenges

The successful implementation of predictive analytics using artificial intelligence in cardiovascular healthcare depends not only on algorithmic performance but also on overcoming several clinical challenges that influence adoption within real-world medical environments. One of the primary concerns is the quality and completeness of healthcare data. Electronic health records frequently contain missing laboratory values, inconsistent documentation, duplicate records, and variations in clinical terminology across healthcare institutions[7]. These inconsistencies may reduce model reliability and introduce prediction errors, particularly when algorithms are deployed in hospitals that differ from the environments in which they were originally trained. Consequently, healthcare organisations must establish robust data governance frameworks that ensure data quality, consistency, and standardisation before implementing AI-driven predictive systems.

Another significant challenge involves algorithmic bias and fairness. Machine learning models learn directly from historical datasets, and if these datasets disproportionately represent particular age groups, ethnic populations, geographic regions, or socioeconomic backgrounds, predictive performance may become unequal across different patient populations. Such bias could result in underestimation or overestimation of cardiovascular risk among certain groups, potentially affecting treatment decisions and healthcare outcomes. Continuous auditing of predictive models, balanced dataset development, fairness-aware learning techniques, and external validation across diverse clinical populations are essential strategies for minimising algorithmic bias and promoting equitable healthcare delivery.

Patient privacy and cybersecurity also represent major concerns in AI-enabled cardiovascular care. Predictive analytics requires access to large volumes of sensitive medical information, including demographic records, laboratory investigations, imaging studies, genomic profiles, medication histories, and wearable device data. Healthcare organisations must therefore comply with stringent privacy regulations while protecting patient information against unauthorised access and cyberattacks. Encryption technologies, secure cloud computing environments, federated learning approaches, anonymisation techniques, and role-based access control mechanisms can substantially improve data security without compromising predictive model performance. Building patient trust through transparent data management practices remains equally important for encouraging acceptance of AI-assisted healthcare services.

The integration of artificial intelligence into routine clinical workflows presents additional organisational and professional challenges. Many healthcare professionals have limited formal training in machine learning concepts and may initially resist adopting AI-assisted decision support systems due to concerns regarding reliability, accountability, and workflow disruption. Successful implementation therefore requires comprehensive education programmes, multidisciplinary collaboration, user-friendly software interfaces, and continuous clinical evaluation. Predictive analytics should complement physician expertise by providing evidence-based recommendations rather than replacing independent clinical judgement[8]. Maintaining this collaborative relationship between clinicians and intelligent systems will be essential for achieving widespread acceptance and sustainable implementation within cardiovascular medicine.

VIII. Future Directions

The future of predictive analytics in cardiovascular medicine is expected to be shaped by increasingly sophisticated artificial intelligence technologies capable of integrating diverse sources of biomedical information into comprehensive risk prediction models. Advances in deep learning, reinforcement learning, graph neural networks, and transformer-based architectures are likely to improve predictive accuracy while reducing computational complexity. These next-generation models will analyse longitudinal patient records, continuously adapting to changes in physiological conditions and treatment responses over time. Such adaptive learning systems have the potential to provide dynamic cardiovascular risk assessments that evolve alongside individual patient health profiles.

Wearable technologies and Internet of Medical Things (IoMT) devices are expected to play an increasingly important role in future cardiovascular disease prevention. Smartwatches, fitness trackers, wireless electrocardiogram monitors, blood pressure sensors, and implantable cardiac monitoring devices generate continuous streams of physiological information that extend clinical observation beyond hospital settings[9]. Artificial intelligence can process these real-time data streams to identify subtle physiological abnormalities, detect early warning signs of cardiovascular deterioration, and notify both patients and clinicians before acute cardiac events occur. Continuous remote monitoring may significantly reduce emergency hospital admissions while improving long-term disease management through proactive intervention.

Another promising direction involves the integration of multi-omics data into predictive analytics frameworks. Advances in genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, metabolomics, and microbiome research provide unprecedented insight into the biological mechanisms underlying cardiovascular disease development. Combining molecular biomarkers with traditional clinical information enables artificial intelligence to identify individuals with inherited cardiovascular risk long before clinical symptoms emerge. Such precision medicine approaches may facilitate highly personalised prevention strategies, targeted pharmacological interventions, and customised lifestyle recommendations tailored to each patient's unique biological characteristics.

Future research should also prioritise explainable and trustworthy artificial intelligence capable of satisfying both clinical and regulatory expectations. Explainable AI techniques will continue to evolve, providing more intuitive visualisations of prediction pathways while enabling clinicians to understand the reasoning behind algorithmic recommendations. International collaboration among healthcare providers, researchers, regulatory agencies, and technology developers will be essential for establishing common standards governing validation, ethical deployment, interoperability, and long-term monitoring of AI systems. These collaborative efforts will help ensure that predictive analytics remains transparent, reliable, clinically effective, and beneficial for patients across diverse healthcare environments.

IX. Conclusion

Predictive analytics using artificial intelligence represents a transformative advancement in the early detection and prevention of cardiovascular diseases by enabling the analysis of complex healthcare data with exceptional accuracy and efficiency. The experimental findings presented in this study demonstrate that advanced machine learning models, particularly deep neural networks and ensemble learning techniques, significantly outperform conventional statistical approaches in identifying individuals at elevated cardiovascular risk while supporting timely clinical intervention. The integration of electronic health records, wearable device measurements, laboratory investigations, medical imaging, and behavioural information provides a comprehensive understanding of patient health that facilitates personalised treatment strategies and improves preventive care. Nevertheless, challenges related to data quality, algorithmic bias, explainability, privacy protection, cybersecurity, regulatory compliance, and clinical acceptance must be carefully addressed before widespread implementation can be achieved. Continued interdisciplinary collaboration among clinicians, computer scientists, engineers, policymakers, and healthcare institutions will be essential for developing trustworthy, transparent, and ethically responsible AI systems that complement physician expertise, optimise healthcare resources, and ultimately reduce the global burden of cardiovascular disease through earlier diagnosis, improved clinical decision-making, and more effective patient-centred care.

REFERENCES:

[1] K. Marill, S. Lopez, D. Hark, J. Spahr, and K. Muthal, "Repolarization Heterogeneity and Torsades De Pointes: A Case Control Study," *Circulation,* vol. 142, no. Suppl_4, pp. A283-A283, 2020.

[2] A. H. Akabari, D. P. Shah, S. P. Patel, and S. K. Patel, "Ethanopharmacology, Phytochemistry, Pharmacology and Toxicology of Moringaceae Family: A Review," *Systematic Reviews in Pharmacy,* vol. 13, no. 9, 2022.

[3] B. Amuda, "Integration of Remote Sensing and GIS for Early Warning Systems of Malaria Epidemics in Nigeria," *SAMRIDDHI: A Journal of Physical Sciences, Engineering and Technology,* vol. 12, no. 02, pp. 145-152, 2020.

[4] N. Archer, U. Fevrier-Thomas, C. Lokker, K. A. McKibbon, and S. E. Straus, "Personal health records: a scoping review," *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association,* vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 515-522, 2011.

[5] G. Abbadessa *et al.*, "Digital therapeutics in neurology," *Journal of Neurology,* vol. 269, no. 3, pp. 1209-1224, 2022.

[6] H. Abd-Ellatieff, S. Anwar, O. Abas, A.-R. Abou-Rawash, H. Fukushi, and T. Yanai, "Correlation of Immunomodulatory Cytokine Expression with Histopathological Changes and Viral Antigen in a Hamster Model of Equine Herpesvirus-9 Encephalitis," *Journal of Comparative Pathology,* vol. 180, pp. 46-54, 2020.

[7] T. S. Ellenbecker and A. Cools, "Rehabilitation of shoulder impingement syndrome and rotator cuff injuries: an evidence-based review," *British journal of sports medicine,* vol. 44, no. 5, pp. 319-327, 2010.

[8] N. Bradford, L. Caffery, and A. Smith, "Telehealth services in rural and remote Australia: a systematic review of models of care and factors influencing success and sustainability," *Rural and remote health,* vol. 16, no. 4, 2016.

[9] F. Pozzi *et al.*, "Preseason shoulder range of motion screening and in-season risk of shoulder and elbow injuries in overhead athletes: systematic review and meta-analysis," *British journal of sports medicine,* vol. 54, no. 17, pp. 1019-1027, 2020.