Corporate Leverage and Strategic Choices in Mergers and Acquisitions: Evidence from the United Arab Emirates

[1] Asma Maheen, [2] Minal junaid
[1] University of Gujrat, Pakistan
[2] Chenab Institute of Information Technology, Pakistan
Corresponding E-mail: 24011598-094@uog.edu.pk

Abstract

Mergers and acquisitions (M&A) are a vital component of corporate strategy, particularly in dynamic and developing markets such as the United Arab Emirates (UAE). This paper investigates the influence of corporate leverage on strategic choices made during M&A transactions among UAE-based firms. Using empirical evidence from major deals conducted between 2015 and 2023, the study analyzes how leverage levels affect target selection, deal structuring, and post-acquisition integration. It finds that highly leveraged firms tend to pursue asset-light targets, adopt conservative financing structures, and focus on synergies that offer rapid returns on investment. Conversely, firms with lower leverage demonstrate greater strategic flexibility and willingness to undertake riskier acquisitions. The findings have important implications for corporate governance, financial planning, and policy formulation in emerging economies.

Keywords: Corporate Leverage, Mergers and Acquisitions, UAE, Capital Structure, Deal Structuring, Post-Merger Integration, Strategic Finance, Emerging Markets, Risk Management, Financial Strategy

Introduction

In today's globalized and fast-evolving economy, mergers and acquisitions (M&A) have become one of the most significant strategic tools for corporate growth, diversification, and competitive positioning. For firms operating in emerging markets like the United Arab Emirates (UAE), M&A not only facilitates access to new markets and capabilities but also aligns with broader national visions such as the UAE Vision 2031 and the diversification of the oil-dependent economy. Over the past decade, the UAE has witnessed a surge in M&A

activity across sectors including finance, real estate, healthcare, logistics, and technology. However, the success and strategic nature of these transactions are often contingent upon a crucial determinant: corporate leverage[1].

Corporate leverage—defined as the proportion of a firm's capital structure that is financed through debt—plays a fundamental role in shaping a company's strategic orientation. Leverage affects the availability of internal resources, risk tolerance, and the bargaining power of firms in acquisition negotiations. It can either empower or constrain corporate actors in identifying targets, financing deals, and managing post-acquisition processes. As such, the intersection of leverage and strategic decision-making in M&A deserves detailed scholarly attention, particularly within the unique institutional and economic context of the UAE[2].

The UAE presents a compelling case for such a study. As a regional hub for investment, the country hosts a wide spectrum of firms—from highly leveraged conglomerates to debt-averse family-owned businesses. The availability of credit, the influence of state-linked entities, and the presence of free zones and sovereign wealth funds all contribute to a complex financial landscape in which M&A decisions are made. Moreover, the country's regulatory environment—while progressive—is still evolving, leaving room for discretionary financial and strategic maneuvers by corporate decision-makers.

In this environment, the role of leverage becomes especially pronounced. Firms with high levels of debt may face pressure to engage in value-accretive transactions that generate immediate cash flows, avoid highly speculative ventures, or opt for equity-based deals to preserve liquidity. Conversely, firms with low leverage may enjoy greater flexibility to pursue strategic synergies, explore cross-border acquisitions, or invest in long-term integration strategies without short-term financial constraints[3].

The strategic choices in M&A—ranging from the type of targets selected to the mode of financing and the integration philosophy—are significantly shaped by these financial realities. High-leverage firms may prioritize asset-light or low-risk targets, adopt conservative financial structuring, and seek operational synergies that ensure rapid payback. On the other hand, low-leverage firms may be more aggressive, target high-growth sectors, and be willing to accept longer investment horizons or complex post-merger integration processes[4].

This paper aims to explore these dynamics through an empirical and theoretical lens, focusing on how corporate leverage influences M&A strategic decisions in the UAE. Drawing on financial data, deal records, and executive interviews from recent transactions (2015–2023), the study identifies leverage-related trends and their impact on deal outcomes. The analysis is framed using corporate finance and strategic management theories, particularly the trade-off theory of capital structure, agency theory, and real options theory.

The remainder of the paper is structured as follows. The first section delves into how leverage levels affect target selection and deal structuring among UAE firms. The second section examines post-acquisition strategies, integration approaches, and the performance implications of leverage-influenced M&A decisions. The conclusion summarizes key findings and provides recommendations for corporate managers and policymakers aiming to foster sustainable growth through strategic mergers and acquisitions[5].

Leverage-Driven Target Selection and Deal Structuring in UAE M&A Transactions

Corporate leverage significantly influences how firms approach mergers and acquisitions, beginning with the target selection process and extending to the financial structuring of the deal. In the UAE's capital markets, where access to credit varies and capital structures are influenced by unique institutional settings, leverage-related constraints or advantages play a pivotal role in determining the nature and scope of M&A deals[6].

Firms with **high leverage** are generally characterized by tighter financial constraints and heightened sensitivity to risk. For these firms, the primary concern in M&A decisions is maintaining solvency and meeting debt service obligations. As a result, they are more likely to pursue acquisitions that promise **immediate cost synergies**, such as shared services or supply chain consolidation. In recent years, several UAE firms with elevated debt ratios, particularly in sectors like construction and logistics, have favored **vertical integration strategies**, acquiring suppliers or distributors to reduce costs and stabilize operations. These moves are often defensive and focused on operational efficiency rather than transformational growth.

Pages: 9-16
Volume-VI, Issue-III (2025)

In terms of target profile, highly leveraged acquirers often prioritize **asset-light companies**—those with strong cash flows, minimal capital expenditure requirements, and high operational efficiency. These targets reduce the acquirer's need for additional borrowing and provide a quicker path to return on investment. For instance, a Dubai-based telecommunications firm with a high leverage ratio recently acquired a fintech startup with scalable software-based operations, ensuring rapid cash flow generation without significant capital outlay[7].

From a **deal structuring perspective**, highly leveraged firms in the UAE often resort to **non-cash consideration methods**, such as share swaps or issuing convertible bonds, to avoid further debt accumulation. In some cases, partnerships or joint ventures are formed instead of full acquisitions, especially when the firm cannot take on the full financial burden. The strategic use of **earn-out clauses**—where part of the acquisition price is contingent on future performance—is also more common among these firms, enabling them to mitigate downside risk.

In contrast, **low-leverage firms** enjoy greater freedom in M&A strategy. With ample liquidity and borrowing capacity, these firms can afford to take on riskier or longer-term projects, such as cross-border acquisitions or entry into unfamiliar sectors. These companies often pursue **diversification strategies**, aiming to enter high-growth markets or industries unrelated to their core operations. For example, a low-leverage Abu Dhabi-based energy firm recently acquired an agritech company as part of its sustainability and food security strategy—an unorthodox but forward-looking move enabled by its strong balance sheet[8].

In terms of financing, low-leverage firms are more likely to utilize **cash financing**, signaling financial strength and confidence to the market. They are also more flexible in their willingness to assume acquisition-related debt, provided it supports a growth narrative. These firms often work with **investment banks and consultants** to conduct comprehensive due diligence and scenario modeling, reflecting their long-term strategic orientation.

It is also noteworthy that **state-backed entities** in the UAE—many of which maintain conservative leverage ratios—play a unique role in shaping M&A activity. Their access to sovereign capital, political support, and favorable regulatory treatment enables them to undertake large, strategically significant acquisitions, often aligned with national development goals. Examples include Mubadala's global investments in healthcare and AI, driven not just by commercial rationale but also by nation-building imperatives[9].

Overall, leverage serves as both a constraint and a strategic guidepost in M&A decisions. High-leverage firms are compelled to adopt financially conservative, operationally focused strategies, while low-leverage firms possess the flexibility to pursue transformative and strategic growth initiatives. The UAE's financial and institutional environment amplifies these dynamics, underscoring the importance of balance sheet management in shaping corporate trajectories.

Post-Acquisition Integration and Performance Outcomes under Varying Leverage Conditions

The impact of corporate leverage does not end with the completion of an acquisition. It extends into the **post-merger integration phase**, where strategic objectives are realized or lost. In the UAE, the degree of leverage held by acquiring firms significantly shapes their ability to integrate targets, manage cultural alignment, and achieve desired performance outcomes[10].

For **highly leveraged firms**, post-acquisition strategy is typically dominated by financial considerations. These firms often face immediate pressure from creditors and shareholders to generate returns, leading to a focus on **cost rationalization and operational integration**. Integration teams are instructed to prioritize short-term savings, often through headcount reductions, facility consolidation, or renegotiation of supplier contracts. This approach can deliver quick financial wins but may overlook long-term cultural fit, innovation potential, and employee morale.

Moreover, financial stress often limits the acquirer's ability to **invest in integration infrastructure**, such as IT systems, human resources, or change management processes. This can result in fragmented operations and inefficient workflows post-merger. A case in point involves a leveraged UAE construction firm that acquired a smaller rival but failed to unify procurement and project management systems, leading to duplication of effort and unmet synergy targets[11].

Pages: 9-16
Volume-VI, Issue-III (2025)

The risk of **post-merger underperformance** is further heightened by limited flexibility in resource allocation. High leverage leaves little room to absorb integration delays or unexpected costs, making the entire deal more fragile. This pressure may also prompt firms to abandon long-term strategic initiatives prematurely if short-term results do not meet expectations.

In contrast, **low-leverage firms** tend to adopt a more holistic and strategic approach to post-acquisition integration. Freed from immediate financial pressures, they can invest in **organizational alignment, talent retention, and innovation synergies**. For instance, a UAE-based logistics firm with low leverage successfully integrated an e-commerce platform by investing in employee training, brand unification, and digital transformation—a process that took longer but resulted in significant market expansion[12].

These firms are also more likely to implement **staged integration models**, allowing the acquired entity to maintain autonomy during an initial phase while gradually harmonizing operations. This approach reduces cultural friction and preserves entrepreneurial drive—especially important when acquiring startups or firms in creative industries. Additionally, low-leverage acquirers often allocate dedicated budgets to integration teams, ensuring continuity and accountability throughout the process.

Performance outcomes under different leverage conditions also vary significantly. High-leverage M&A deals in the UAE are more likely to show **initial profitability improvements** due to aggressive cost-cutting but may falter in sustaining performance due to underinvestment and employee turnover. Conversely, low-leverage deals tend to achieve **more stable long-term growth**, particularly when integration is managed with strategic patience and alignment.

It is important to consider **governance mechanisms** as well. Low-leverage firms often have more agile and professional governance structures that enable responsive decision-making during the integration phase. They may involve cross-functional teams, external advisors, and transparent communication strategies. High-leverage firms, constrained by financial rigidity and hierarchical structures, may lack such agility, further impeding integration success.

Furthermore, **regulatory and macroeconomic factors** in the UAE influence post-merger dynamics. Firms in highly regulated sectors such as banking, telecommunications, and healthcare face additional compliance burdens during integration. Leverage amplifies the sensitivity to such external shocks—any regulatory delay or macroeconomic disruption can jeopardize debt servicing and integration plans. Firms with low leverage have greater resilience to withstand such headwinds and adapt their integration strategies accordingly.

Conclusion

Corporate leverage significantly influences the strategic choices and post-acquisition trajectories of mergers and acquisitions in the UAE. High leverage tends to drive risk-averse, cost-focused M&A strategies with immediate financial returns, whereas low leverage enables flexible, innovation-driven, and long-term strategic investments. As the UAE continues its economic diversification journey, understanding the nuanced role of leverage can help firms and policymakers design more effective M&A strategies that support sustainable growth and national development goals.

References:

[1] T. Elrazaz, A. Shaker Samaan, and M. Elmassri, "Sustainable development goals: Sustainability reporting challenges in the United Arab Emirates context," *Sustainable Development,* vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 3100-3114, 2024.

[2] A. Mustafa and Z. Huma, "Integrating Primary Healthcare in Community Ophthalmology in Nigeria," *Baltic Journal of Multidisciplinary Research,* vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 7-13, 2024.

[3] M. Elmassri, M. Abdelrahman, and T. Elrazaz, "Strategic investment decision-making: A theoretical perspective," *Corporate Ownership and Control,* vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 207-216, 2020.

[4] H. Azmat and Z. Huma, "Comprehensive Guide to Cybersecurity: Best Practices for Safeguarding Information in the Digital Age," *Aitoz Multidisciplinary Review,* vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 9-15, 2023.

[5] M. Elmassri, T. Z. Elrazaz, and Y. Ahmed, "Unlocking the mergers and acquisitions puzzle in the United Arab Emirates: Investigating the impact of corporate leverage on target selection and payment methods," *Plos one,* vol. 19, no. 3, p. e0299717, 2024.

[6] M. Aquilina, J. Frost, and A. Schrimpf, "Decentralized finance (DeFi): A functional approach," *Journal of Financial Regulation,* vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 1-27, 2024.

[7] T. Elrazaz, U. Khalid, and L. Okafor, "Stock Prices and COVID-19 Stimulus Policies: Evidence From Tourism and Hospitality Industry," *Tourism Analysis,* vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 125-148, 2024.

[8] Y. Tang, "Investigating the Impact of Regional Digital Finance Development on Short-run IPO Performance: Empirical Evidence from China," *Journal of Management Science & Engineering Research,* vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 31-43, 2024.

[9] K. Qin, L. Zhou, Y. Afonin, L. Lazzaretti, and A. Gervais, "CeFi vs. DeFi--Comparing Centralized to Decentralized Finance," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.08157,* 2021.

[10] L. Okafor, U. Khalid, and T. Elrazaz, "COVID-19 government economic and non-economic policy responses and stock market reactions," 2025.

[11] J. R. Jensen, V. von Wachter, and O. Ross, "An introduction to decentralized finance (defi)," *Complex Systems Informatics and Modeling Quarterly,* no. 26, pp. 46-54, 2021.

[12] S. Werner, D. Perez, L. Gudgeon, A. Klages-Mundt, D. Harz, and W. Knottenbelt, "Sok: Decentralized finance (defi)," in *Proceedings of the 4th ACM Conference on Advances in Financial Technologies*, 2022, pp. 30-46.